

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/520,419	03/08/2000	Julie A. Meek	9110-0008	1596
25267 75	590 04/09/2004		EXAMINER	
BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP			BLECK, CAROLYN M	
135 N PENNSYLVANIA ST SUITE 2700 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/520,419	MEEK ET AL.			
Advisory Auton	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carolyn M Bleck	3626	ML		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add			
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the substitution of this application application application with the substitution application applic	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>4 August 2004</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			rth in		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	· · · ——				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	l amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.	,				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-10 and 22.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5 and 11-2	<u>1</u> .				
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:	Som on	1- Thorn			
	Incept	1 THOMAS	-		
	Supervisory i	PATENT EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 7. Claims 1-4, 6-10, and 22 are rejected for the same reasons given in the previous Advisory Action (paper number 19), and incorporated herein.